## Structure of the Potassium Salt with Dipicrylamine

BY M. L. KUNDU AND S. K. GHOSH

Physical Research Wing, Fertilizer (Planning & Development) India Ltd, Sindri 828122, India

(Received 16 March 1979; accepted 11 January 1980)

Abstract.  $K^+$ .  $C_{12}H_4N_7O_{12}$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/a$ , a =15.78(2), b = 13.16(3), c = 11.12(2) Å,  $\beta =$ 130 (1)°,  $D_m = 1.83$ ,  $D_c = 1.79$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, Z = 4,  $M_r = 477.32$ ,  $\lambda$ (Cu Ka) = 1.5418 Å,  $\mu$ (Cu Ka) = 3.577 mm<sup>-1</sup>. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined to a final R value of 0.1188 with 1926 visually estimated intensities from Weissenberg photographs (H atoms not refined). Potassium ions are linked to dipicrylamine anions through oxygens in eightfold coordination. The structure is stabilized by van der Waals forces and exists in two equivalent resonating forms. The angle between two planar aromatic rings is 78° and the nitro groups are twisted out of these planes by angles varying from 8 to 34°. The average values of C=C, C-N(O), C=N and N=O are 1.40(2), 1.46(2), $1 \cdot 31$  (1) and  $1 \cdot 23$  (1) Å respectively.

Introduction. This work was performed in connection with our systematic study on the possibility of salt formation and the structural investigation of a class of salts of dipicrylamine with organic and inorganic cations. Molecular configurations of these salts were proposed from the study on their vibrational spectra (Kundu, 1978). The structure determination of the potassium salt with dipicrylamine, which was prepared in this laboratory by treating an aqueous solution of potassium chloride with mexan (the magnesium salt with dipicrylamine), was undertaken with a view to ascertaining the mode of salt formation and the nature of bonding in the case of salts with inorganic cations. The salt is cherry red, and it melts with decomposition at 447-449 K. The single crystals of the salts were grown from aqueous solution in acetone under controlled evaporation. The crystals were thick, elongated, prismatic plates.

The single crystal used for the measurement of intensities was made approximately cylindrical with mean diameter 0.3 mm. The intensities, measured visually from Weissenberg photographs, were corrected for Lorentz-polarization and spot-shape factors and brought to an absolute scale by a statistical method (Rogers, 1965). The structure solution was first attempted by the heavy-atom technique. From a Patterson map it was found that the potassium ycoordinate was zero, so that no phases could be found

0(7 C

O(8)	961 (8)	-3542 (10)	4935 (11)	3.56
O(9)	-2416 (7)	-3789 (10)	-142(12)	3.03
O(10)	-2665 (7)	-3124 (10)	-2172 (10)	2.94
O(11)	227 (8)	-1164 (10)	-1357 (10)	3.10
O(12)	1271 (8)	-373 (9)	833 (12)	3.55
H(1)	5870	-2100	7100	1.60
H(2)	4100	-350	8110	1.56
H(3)	-630	-3600	2310	2.17
H(4)	-1250	-2000	-1500	1.83

for reflections with h + k odd. The structure was finally solved by direct methods on an IBM 1130 computer using a combination of the programs SIFAZ (Chaudhuri, Mazumdar & Saha, 1978), PAIRS (Chaudhuri & Mazumdar, 1976) and part 4(b) of SAP (Ahmed, 1968). One of the sets of 387 phases gave an E map in which all the non-hydrogen atoms could be located. The first R value from the atomic positions

Table	1.	Final	pos	sitional	paran	neters	(×10 <sup>4</sup> )	and
isotrop	ic	therm	al	param	eters	with	e.s.d.'s	in
				parenti	heses			

	x	У	Z	$B_{\rm lso}({\rm \AA}^2)$
К	3950 (2)	-4846 (3)	2409 (3)	1.72 (5)
C(1)	3060 (7)	-1594 (11)	4693 (11)	0.71 (17)
C(2)	4089 (8)	-2068 (11)	5312 (11)	0.92 (18)
C(3)	5089 (9)	-1881 (11)	6781 (12)	1.20 (20)
C(4)	5070 (9)	-1165 (12)	7737 (12)	1.29 (20)
C(5)	4112 (9)	-730 (12)	7285 (12)	1.16 (20)
C(6)	3146 (8)	-961 (11)	5814 (12)	1.06 (20)
C(7)	1182 (8)	-2104 (12)	2372 (12)	1.11 (19)
C(8)	741 (9)	-2789 (11)	2853 (12)	1.34 (20)
C(9)	-308 (9)	-3169 (12)	1878 (13)	1.67 (23)
C(10)	-987 (8)	-2845 (12)	319 (12)	1.33 (20)
C(11)	-667 (9)	-2202(12)	-307 (13)	1.33 (21)
C(12)	393 (8)	-1799 (12)	734 (12)	1.23 (20)
N	2183 (7)	-1738 (10)	3202 (10)	1.34 (17)
N(1)	4133 (7)	-2836 (9)	4414 (11)	1.33 (17)
N(2)	6116 (8)	-960 (10)	9278 (11)	1.83 (20)
N(3)	2168 (7)	-463 (10)	5441 (10)	1.62 (29)
N(4)	1428 (8)	-3206 (10)	4473 (11)	2.16 (21)
N(5)	-2086 (8)	-3296 (11)	-711 (12)	2.43 (22)
N(6)	674 (7)	-1081 (10)	57 (11)	1.59 (19)
O(1)	3475 (7)	2810 (9)	2948 (9)	2.13 (16)
O(2)	4818 (6)	-3542 (9)	5134 (10)	2.35 (18)
O(3)	6957 (7)	-1283 (9)	9587 (10)	2.87 (20)
O(4)	6090 (8)	-440 (10)	10177 (10)	3.29 (22)
O(5)	2304 (8)	169 (10)	6363 (11)	3.47 (22)
O(6)	1263 (6)	-713 (9)	4259 (11)	2.93 (20)
O(7)	2422 (7)	-3233 (10)	5272 (11)	3.14 (21)
O(8)	961 (8)	-3542 (10)	4935 (11)	3.56 (23)
O(9)	-2416 (7)	-3789 (10)	-142(12)	3.03 (21)
O(10)	-2665 (7)	-3124 (10)	-2172 (10)	2.94 (21)
O(11)	227 (8)	-1164 (10)	-1357 (10)	3.10 (21)
O(12)	1271 (8)	-373 (9)	833 (12)	3.55 (23)
H(1)	5870	-2100	7100	1.60
H(2)	4100	-350	8110	1.56
H(3)	-630	-3600	2310	2.17
TT(A)	1250	2000	1500	1.02

0567-7408/80/040941-04\$01.00

© 1980 International Union of Crystallography

found from this map was 0.31. The least-squares refinement with non-hydrogen atomic positional and thermal parameters on an IBM 1130 computer using a combination of the programs *MAMIE* and *BLOK* (Vickery, 1970) gave the *R* value 0.106 for reflections having  $F_o > 10$ . The H positions were determined from the difference Fourier map and the stereochemical concepts of the structure. The final *R* value for all the observed reflections was 0.1188. No extinction correction was made. Atomic scattering factors for C, N, O and K were taken from Cromer & Waber (1965) and those for H from Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965).

Table 2.	Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) with e.s.d.'s
	in parentheses

C(1) - C(2)	1.44 (2)	C(10) - N(5)	1.46 (2)
C(2) - C(3)	1·38 (1)	C(12) - N(6)	1.44 (2)
C(3) - C(4)	1.44(2)	N(1) - O(1)	1.25 (1)
C(4) - C(5)	1.37(2)	N(1) - O(2)	1.25 (1)
C(5) - C(6)	1.37(1)	N(2) - O(3)	1.22 (1)
C(1) - C(6)	1.43(2)	N(2) - O(4)	1.23 (1)
C(7) = C(8)	1.44(2)	N(3) = O(5)	1.23 (2)
C(8) - C(9)	1.36(2)	N(3) = O(6)	1.21 (1)
C(9) - C(10)	1.40(2)	N(4) = O(7)	1.21 (1)
C(10) - C(11)	1.38(2)	N(4) = O(8)	1.22 (1)
C(11) - C(12)	1.39(2)	N(5) = O(9)	1.23 (2)
C(7) - C(12)	1.45(2)	N(5) = O(10)	1.27 (1)
C(1) = N	1,32(1)	N(6) = O(11)	1.25 (1)
C(7) = N	1.31(1)	N(6) = O(12)	1.21 (2)
C(2) = N(1)	1.45(2)	C(3) - H(1)	1.08
C(2) = N(1) C(4) = N(2)	1.45(1)	C(5) - H(2)	1.06
C(6) = N(3)	1.47(1)	C(9) - H(3)	1.06
C(8) = N(4)	1.49(2)	C(11) - H(4)	1.05
	1.47 (2)		1 05
C(2)-C(1)-C(6)	113(1)	C(1) - N - C(7)	139 (1
C(2)-C(1)-N	119(1)	C(2) - N(1) - O(1)	121 (1
C(6)-C(1)-N	128 (1)	C(2) - N(1) - O(2)	119 (1
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	125 (1)	O(1) - N(1) - O(2)	121 (1
C(1)-C(2)-N(1)	121 (1)	C(4) - N(2) - O(3)	118 (1
C(3)-C(2)-N(1)	114 (1)	C(4) - N(2) - O(4)	117 (1
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	116 (I)	O(3) - N(2) - O(4)	124 (1
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	123 (1)	C(6) - N(3) - O(5)	119 (1
C(3)-C(4)-N(2)	117(1)	C(6) - N(3) - O(6)	119 (1
C(5)-C(4)-N(2)	120 (1)	O(5) - N(3) - O(6)	123 (1
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	117(1)	C(8) - N(4) - O(7)	120 (1
C(1) - C(6) - C(5)	125 (1)	C(8) - N(4) - O(8)	118 (1
C(1)-C(6)-N(3)	121 (1)	O(7) - N(4) - O(8)	122 (1
C(5)-C(6)-N(3)	113(1)	C(10) - N(5) - O(9)	120 (1
C(8)-C(7)-C(12)	114 (1)	C(10) - N(5) - O(10)	117 (1
C(8)-C(7)-N	129(1)	O(9) - N(5) - O(10)	124 (1
C(12)-C(7)-N	117(1)	C(12) - N(6) - O(11)	119 (1
C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	124 (1)	C(12) - N(6) - O(12)	120 (1
C(7)-C(8)-N(4)	122 (1)	O(11) - N(6) - O(12)	121 (1
C(9)-C(8)-N(4)	113 (1)	C(2) - C(3) - H(1)	122
C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	116 (1)	C(4) - C(3) - H(1)	120
C(9) - C(10) - C(11)	125 (1)	C(4) - C(5) - H(2)	121
C(9) - C(10) - N(5)	115 (1)	C(6)-C(5)-H(2)	121
$C(11) - \dot{C}(10) - \dot{N}(5)$	) 119 (1)	C(8)-C(9)-H(3)	122
C(10) - C(11) - C(11)	2) 117 (1)	C(10)-C(9)-H(3)	121
C(7)-C(12)-C(11)	123 (1)	C(10)-C(11)-H(4)	119
C(7) - C(12) - N(6)	122 (1)	C(12)-C(11)-H(4)	124
C(11)-C(12)-N(6)	) 115 (1)		

Table	3.	Intermolecular	contact	distances	(A)	less
than 3.4 Å						

$K(I) \cdots N(5)(II)$	3.32 (2)	$N(6)(I) \cdots O(12)(II)$	3.19 (2)
$K(I) \cdots O(1)(I)$	2·95 (1)	$O(1)(1) \cdots O(3)(11)$	3.10(2)
$K(I) \cdots O(2)(I)$	2.94 (1)	$O(1)(1) \cdots O(5)(1V)$	3.22 (2)
$K(I) \cdots O(2)(II)$	2.98(1)	$O(1)(1) \cdots H(3)(111)$	2.69
$K(I) \cdots O(3)(III)$	3.04(2)	$O(2)(1) \cdots O(5)(1V)$	3.11(2)
$K(I) \cdots O(5)(IV)$	3.04(2)	$O(2)(1) \cdots O(6)(111)$	3.16 (2)
$K(I) \cdots O(6)(III)$	2.91(1)	$O(2)(1) \cdots O(8)(111)$	3.37 (2)
$K(I) \cdots O(9)(II)$	2.76(1)	$O(3)(1) \cdots O(9)(1V)$	3.33(2)
$K(I) \cdots O(10)(II)$	3.26(2)	$O(4)(1) \cdots O(5)(11)$	2.97(1)
$K(I) \cdots O(11)(IV)$	2.83(1)	$O(4)(1) \cdots O(9)(1V)$	3.03 (2)
$C(2)(I) \cdots O(8)(III)$	3.34(2)	$O(4)(I) \cdots H(3)(IV)$	3.38
$C(3)(I) \cdots O(8)(III)$	$3 \cdot 17(2)$	$O(5)(1) \cdots O(7)(1V)$	2.99(1)
$C(3)(I) \cdots O(1)(III)$	3.22(2)	$O(5)(1) \cdots O(10)(11)$	3.13(2)
$C(9)(I) \cdots O(1)(III)$	3.11(2)	$O(7)(1) \cdots H(4)(111)$	2.77
N(1)(I) + O(5)(IV)	3.20(2)	$O(8)(1) \dots O(10)(111)$	$\overline{3}, \overline{30}(2)$
N(1)(1) = O(8)(11)	3.13(2)	O(8)(1) + H(1)(11)	2.64
N(1)(1) = U(2)(11)	3.13(2)	$O(0)(1) \cdots O(11)(11)$	$\frac{2}{3},03(2)$
N(2)(1) = O(0)(11)	3.28 (2)	O(9)(1) = O(12)(11)	3.00(2)
$N(2)(1) \cdots O(9)(1V)$	$3 \cdot 20(2)$	$O(9)(1) \cdots O(12)(11)$	2 10 (2)
$N(3)(1) \cdots O(7)(1V)$	$3 \cdot 21(2)$	$O(10)(1) \cdots H(2)(11)$	2.20
$N(3)(1) \cdots O(10)(11)$	$3 \cdot 11(2)$	$O(11)(1) \cdots H(1)(11)$	3.38
$N(5)(1) \cdots O(4)(1V)$	3.09(2)	$O(12)(1) \cdots O(12)(1)$	3.31 (2)
$N(5)(1) \cdots H(2)(111)$	3.40	$O(12)(1)\cdots H(4)(11)$	3.21
$N(6)(I) \cdots O(9)(III)$	3.17(2)	$H(2)(I) \cdots H(2)(II)$	3.35



The final atomic coordinates and thermal parameters with standard deviations are shown in Table 1.\* The bond lengths and angles with their standard deviations for the final refined structure are shown in Table 2. The numbering of the atoms is shown schematically in Fig. 1. Intermolecular contact distances (less than 3.4 Å) from the atoms of the reference molecule (I) at x,y,z to the atoms of the symmetryrelated neighbouring molecules at  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$  (II), 0.5 + x, 0.5 - y, z (III) and  $0.5 - x, 0.5 + y, \bar{z}$  (IV) are listed in Table 3. A projection of the molecules viewed along the *b* axis is shown in Fig. 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 34939 (20 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 2. View of the molecules along the b axis showing the close-contact distances (Å) between the potassium and dipicryl-amine ions.

**Discussion.** It is found from Table 2 that the average C-C bond in the aromatic rings (1.40 Å) is slightly longer than that found by Cox, Cruickshank & Smith (1958) in crystalline benzene. The bond lengths C(1)-C(2) (1.44 Å), C(1)-C(6) (1.43 Å), C(7)-C(8)(1.44 Å) and C(7)–C(12) (1.45 Å) are somewhat longer than the normal value. The bond angles C(2)-C(1)-C(6) (113°) and C(8)-C(7)-C(12) $(114^{\circ})$  are also smaller than the normal value observed in the benzene ring. The lengthening of the bonds and the narrowing of the bond angles are due to the stretching of the aromatic rings which may be a consequence of the steric hindrance and molecular packing. Similar stretching has been observed in the structure of the guanidinium salt with dipicrylamine (Gupta & Datta, 1975). The average distance between the C of the aromatic rings and the N of the nitro groups (1.46 Å) is in good agreement with the C-Ndistance found in polynitro aromatic structures (Carter, McPhail & Sim, 1966; Maartmann-Moe, 1969; Thewalt & Bugg, 1972). This C-N distance shows single-bond character. The bond distances C(1)-N (1.32 Å) and C(7)-N (1.31 Å), which are not significantly different, show double-bond character between C and N. The double-bond character has been determined from Pauling's relation  $R = R_1 - (R_1 - R_1)$  $R_2$  [3x/(2x + 1)], where R is the measured bond length,  $R_1$  the length of the single bond,  $R_2$  the length of the double bond and x the double-bond character. In calculating double-bond character, x, the C–N single bond and C-N double bond were taken as 1.47 Å (Pauling, 1960) and 1.26 Å (Donohue, 1947), respectively. The double-bond characters of C(1)-N (44%) and C(7)–N (54%) are not significantly different from 50%. Formally, one of these should be a single bond in character. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the structure exists in two equivalent resonating forms

(Ph−N=Ph ↔ Ph=N−Ph). Similarly, the two N−O distances in individual nitro groups are not significantly different from each other. This suggests that the nitro groups are also in resonating forms. From the experimental measurement, the dipole moment of the potassium salt with dipicrylamine was found to be  $12 \cdot 3 \times 10^{-30}$  C m, thereby suggesting a resonating structure.

The atoms in the individual aromatic rings and nitro groups are substantially planar and the two aromatic rings are not coplanar, the angle between them being  $78^{\circ}$ . All the nitro groups are twisted out of the planes of the aromatic rings by angles varying from 8 to  $34^{\circ}$ . The rotation of the nitro groups in the *ortho* positions is appreciable. This may be caused by the intramolecular steric hindrance and also by the influence of the potassium cations near the dipicrylamine anions.

It can be seen from Table 3 that none of the closest intermolecular contacts found in this structure for different types of atoms is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii (Pauling, 1960) for the atoms involved. This suggests that van der Waals forces are the main type of intermolecular interactions that stabilize the structure. The crystal structure is characterized by a high packing density, as can be seen from Fig. 2. There are eight close contact distances between the potassium cations and the dipicrylamine anions through O atoms. The  $K \cdots O$  distances ranging from 2.76 to 3.04 Å agree with those usually found in potassium salts of organic acids (Shrivastava & Speakman, 1961; Larsson & Nahringbauer, 1968; Manojlović & Speakman, 1968; Maartmann-Moe, 1969). In the crystal structure, both potassium and dipicrylamine ions co-exist and the potassium is surrounded by eight neighbouring O atoms; the coordinating O atoms around the potassium may be regarded as forming a distorted square antiprism. No hydrogen bonding has been observed in the crystal structure.

From the crystal structures of the potassium and guanidinium salts with dipicrylamine it is clear that in both the cases cations are held with dipicrylamine anions by van der Waals forces. From the studies on the vibrational spectra of these salts along with some other salts of dipicrylamine with organic and inorganic cations it has been observed that the main features (the nature of the bonding and the molecular configurations) of the spectra of both types of salts are essentially similar. Therefore, it can be concluded that dipicrylamine forms salts with both organic and inorganic cations in a similar fashion, although the stereochemistries of the individual salts may be different. This class of salts may be regarded as a loose molecular complex type.

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Dr S. C. Chakraborty, Professor and Head of the Department of Physics, Burdwan University, Burdwan, for his stimulating interest and valuable discussions. Our sincere thanks are due to Dr S. K. Mazumdar and Mr S. Chaudhuri of the Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Calcutta, for their valuable discussion. Thanks are also due to Mr N. Mukhopadhya of the Computer Centre for his help and to Mr J. N. Kapoor of the Chemical Research Wing for preparing the pure sample. The authors are thankful to the management, FPDIL, for providing the facilities to carry out this work.

## References

- AHMED, F. R. (1968). NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM/360 System. National Research Council, Ottawa, Canada.
- CARTER, O. L., MCPHAIL, A. & SIM, G. A. (1966). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 822-838.
- CHAUDHURI, S. & MAZUMDAR, S. K. (1976). Private communication. Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Calcutta.
- CHAUDHURI, S., MAZUMDAR, S. K. & SAHA, N. N. (1978). Abstr. No. 1–2. National Conference on Crystallography, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Gujrat, India.

- Cox, E. G., CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. & SMITH, J. A. S. (1958). Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A, 247, 1–21.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104-109.
- DONOHUE, J. (1947). Dissertation, California Institute of Technology.
- GUPTA, M. P. & DATTA, B. P. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 1272-1275.
- KUNDU, M. L. (1978). Thesis, Univ. of Burdwan, India.
- LARSSON, G. & NAHRINGBAUER, I. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 666–672.
- MAARTMANN-MOE, K. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 1452-1460.
- MANOJLOVIĆ, L. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 323-325.
- PAULING, L. (1960). The Nature of the Chemical Bond. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- ROGERS, D. (1965). Computing Methods in Crystallography, edited by J. S. ROLLETT. Oxford: Pergamon.
- SHRIVASTAVA, H. N. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1961). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 1151–1163.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175-3187.
- THEWALT, U. & BUGG, C. E. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 82-92.
- VICKERY, B. L. (1970). ARC crystallographic programs for the IBM 1130 system.

Acta Cryst. (1980). B36, 944-946

## Tris(methyl 2-methyldithiocarbazate)nickel(II) Chloride ½Ethanol Trihydrate

By GIULIA DESSY AND VINCENZO FARES

Laboratorio di Teoria e Struttura Elettronica e Comportamento Spettrochimico dei Composti di Coordinazione del CNR, 00016 Monterotondo Stazione, Italy

(Received 22 April 1979; accepted 7 January 1980)

 $[Ni{NH,N(CH_{3})C(S)SCH_{3}}]Cl_{2}.3H_{2}O.-$ Abstract.  $C_{9}H_{24}N_{6}NiS_{6}^{2+}.2Cl^{-}.3H_{2}O.0.5C_{2}H_{5}$  $0.5C_{2}H_{5}OH$ OH, trigonal,  $R\bar{3}c$ , a = b = 14.354 (2), c = 45.738 (5) A, U = 8181 Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_m = 1.50$  (by flotation), Z = 12,  $D_c = 1.499 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}, F(000) = 3835, \mu(\text{Mo } K\alpha) =$  $1.369 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ . The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier techniques and refined to R = 0.0306 by least-squares calculations. The geometry of the coordination around the Ni atom is a distorted octahedron with the ternary symmetry retained, the chromophore being NiS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub> in three five-membered chelate rings. One of the two Cl atoms is surrounded octahedrally by six equivalent water molecules. The ethanol molecule, which lies on the threefold axis, shows three different degrees of disorder.

Introduction. Dithiocarbazic acid derivatives have been studied in recent years because of their biological 0567-7408/80/040944-03\$01.00 activity (Terada, Uda, Okitsu, Kametani & Kubota, 1977; Kubota, Uda, Mori, Kametani & Terada, 1978) and because of their coordinating properties as potential polydentate ligands (Monaci, Tarli, Manotti Lanfredi, Tiripicchio & Tiripicchio Camellini, 1980).

The present study is part of an investigation in progress in our laboratory on the complexes of the methyl ester of N-substituted dithiocarbazic acid,  $R'R''NNR'''C(S)SCH_3$ . Whilst only one Ni complex is formed with NH<sub>2</sub>NHC(S)SCH<sub>3</sub> (= L'), [NiL'<sub>3</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>, two different Ni complexes of NH<sub>2</sub>N(CH<sub>3</sub>)C(S)SCH<sub>3</sub> (= L) can be prepared with two different metal/ligand ratios, [NiL<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] and [NiL<sub>3</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>. Crystals of the last complex, with three ligand molecules coordinated to the metal, can be obtained only in the presence of water molecules, which are essential for the stabilization of the complex.

In order to study the coordinating properties of this © 1980 International Union of Crystallography